

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
AT CHATTANOOGA

WILMINGTON SAVINGS FUND SOCIETY, )  
FSB, *Not Individually But Solely As Trustee for* )  
*RPMLT 2014-1 Trust, Series 2014-1* )  
Plaintiff, )  
v. )  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, )  
ROBERT S. PATTERSON, and TAMMY L. )  
PATTERSON, )  
Defendants, )  
Case No. 1:16-cv-344  
Judge Reeves/Steger

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER REGARDING  
SEALING CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

This Memorandum and Order Regarding Sealing Confidential Information enunciates the specific standards that must be met and the procedures that must be followed in order to file anything in the Court record under seal.

## Standard Required to File Information Under Seal

The Court regularly signs agreed protective orders which permit the parties to designate the discovery they wish to keep confidential among themselves. ““Secrecy is fine at the discovery stage, before the material enters the judicial record.”” *Shane Group, Inc. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan*, \_\_ F.3d \_\_, 2016 WL 3163073,\*3 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. June 7, 2016) (quoting *Baxter Int'l, Inc. v. Abbott Labs.*, 297 F.3d 544, 545 (7th Cir. 2002)). “At the adjudication stage, however, very different considerations apply.”” *Shane Group, Inc.*, \_\_ F.3d \_\_, 2016 WL 3163073, \*3 (quoting *Joy v. North*, 692 F.2d 880, 893 (2d Cir. 1982)).

In *Shane Group, Inc. v. Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan*, the Sixth Circuit recently

discussed the very high barrier a party must hurdle to file information under seal in the Court's record:

The courts have long recognized ... a "strong presumption in favor of openness" as to court records. *Brown & Williamson*, 710 F.2d at 1179. The burden of overcoming that presumption is borne by the party that seeks to seal them. *In re Cendant Corp.*, 260 F.3d 183, 194 (3d Cir. 2001). The burden is a heavy one: "Only the most compelling reasons can justify non-disclosure of judicial records." *In re Knoxville News-Sentinel Co.*, 723 F.2d 470, 476 (6th Cir. 1983). Moreover, the greater the public interest in the litigation's subject matter, the greater the showing necessary to overcome the presumption of access. See *Brown & Williamson*, 710 F.2d at 1179.

*Shane Group, Inc.*, \_\_ F.3d \_\_, 2016 WL 3163073, \*3.

The reasons for this "heavy burden" are examined thoroughly in *Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. v. FTC* wherein the court began its discussion by recognizing this country's long-standing tradition of public access to court proceedings based upon the First Amendment and common law. *Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.*, 723 F.2d at 1177. The court articulated three reasons for this right of public access. First, "public trials play an important role as outlets for community concern, hostility and emotions. When judicial decisions are known to be just and when the legal system is moving to vindicate societal wrongs, members of the community are less likely to act as self-appointed law enforcers or vigilantes." *Id.* at 1178 (internal citations omitted). Second, "public access provides a check on the courts. Judges know that they will continue to be held responsible by the public for their rulings. Without access to the proceedings, the public cannot analyze and critique the reasoning of the court.... One of the ways we minimize judicial error and misconduct is through public scrutiny and discussion." *Id.* Third, "open trials promote true and accurate fact finding." *Id.* (external citation omitted.)

The right of access is not absolute, however. *Id.* at 1179. There are two categories of

exceptions to the right of public access. The first category is the need to keep dignity and order in the courtroom. In such an instance, the legitimate societal interest in protecting the adjudicatory process from disruption outweighs the interest of unfettered public access to the proceedings. *Id.* The second category consists of restrictions based on the content of the information to be disclosed to the public. *Id.* Certain content-based exceptions outweigh the right to public access. Some of these exceptions include:

- 1) a defendant's right to a fair trial,
- 2) trade secrets,
- 3) national security, and
- 4) certain privacy rights of participants and third parties.

*Id.*

Nevertheless, as explained in *In re Southeastern Milk Antitrust litigation*, 666 F. Supp.2d 908, 915 (E.D. Tenn. 2009),

... neither harm to reputation of the producing party nor conclusory allegations of injury are sufficient to overcome the presumption in favor of public access. *Id.* at 1179–80 (citing *Joy v. North*, 692 F.2d 880, 884 (2d Cir.1982)) (“A naked conclusory statement that [disclosure will injure a producing party] ... falls woefully short of the kind of showing which raises even an arguable issue as to whether it may be kept under seal.”).

(Brackets original).

At the very least, a party’s assertion that information it seeks to seal constitutes legitimate trade secrets must be supported by an affidavit. In some instances, it may be necessary to hold an evidentiary hearing to determine whether information purported to be confidential business information can be filed under seal. Further, “even where a party can show a compelling reason why certain documents or portions thereof should be sealed, the seal itself must be narrowly tailored to serve that reason.” *Shane Group, Inc*, \_\_ F.3d \_\_, 2016 WL 3163073, \*3.

It is highly unlikely that the Court will place entire motions and their supporting documents under seal. To do so would eliminate from the public record all bases for any

decision upon the motion by the Court thereby eviscerating the public's First Amendment right of access. The parties are encouraged to be very selective in the information they seek to seal. As previously indicated, agreement by the parties that information is confidential business information, standing alone, does not meet the standard required to file information under seal.

Procedure Required to Obtain Leave to File Under Seal

1. Any party who wants to file material under seal must file an appropriate motion in the Court record seeking leave to do so. In filing this motion, the moving party MUST comply with E.D. Tenn. L.R. 26.2 and Rule 12.2 of the Electronic Case Filing Rules and Procedures.<sup>1</sup> If the motion to seal is granted, the document shall remain permanently under seal. If the Court denies the motion to seal, the moving party may file that same material, which was the subject of the motion to seal, in the public record within seven days of entry of the Court's order denying the motion to seal.

2. In the event a party moves to file under seal information which has been designated as confidential by someone else (e.g., another party or a non-party), the party who has designated the information as confidential will have 14 days from service of the motion to seal to file: (a) a response indicating whether that party supports the motion to seal, and, if the response is in the affirmative; (b) any declarations or other papers supporting such response.

3. Redaction is considered by the Court to be the same as sealing information. See E.D. L.R. 26.2. Where a party has met the rigorous standard to file information under seal, redaction is required unless more than 50% of the document needs to be sealed. Proposed redacted documents should be filed with the motion to seal or response to the motion to seal, as

---

<sup>1</sup> Counsel's attention is also invited to "CMECF Sealed Documents – Documentation for Attorneys, September 1, 2009" which can be found at:  
[http://www.tned.uscourts.gov/docs/atty\\_documentation.pdf](http://www.tned.uscourts.gov/docs/atty_documentation.pdf)

is appropriate under the circumstances. Unredacted documents shall be filed under the *Proposed Sealed Document* event in order that the Court can compare the redacted and unredacted versions.

4. Failure to comply with the procedures set forth in this order may result in the Court summarily denying the motion.

SO ORDERED.

ENTER.

*s/Christopher H. Steger*  
United States Magistrate Judge  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE